# 45 and Up Study Request for Technical Review

It is a requirement of access to 45 and Up Study data that all research outputs reporting analyses of these data are submitted to the Study Coordinating Centre for technical review. The Author Guidelines detail the technical review process.

**Authors must ensure their research outputs conform to the requirements detailed in Section 3 of this document.**

Please submit this form with your research output to [45andUp.Research@saxinstitute.org.au](mailto:45andUp.Research@saxinstitute.org.au) at least 20 business days (4 weeks) prior to submission to a journal or conference; or before presentation or publication as a report.

Papers for Chronic Conditions Umbrella Program Linkage (CUPL) projects must be forwarded a minimum of 30 business days (6 weeks) prior to their intended submission/publication date.

Requests will be processed for review by the Coordinating Centre on Friday of each week for all submissions received by close of business on Thursdays. Additional time must be allowed for review by Services Australia if Medicare claims and/or Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) data have been used.

## Information for authors

The technical requirements for reporting findings from use of 45 and Up Study data vary depending on the type of research output submitted for review. This form comprises the following sections:

#### **[Section 1:](#_Section_1_–_1)** [Project, research output and publication details](#_Section_1_–_1)

* Authors to complete for all requests

#### [**Section 2**: Plain language summary](#_Section_2_)

* A summary of the research output and its results, written for the lay reader
* Authors to complete for **manuscripts, reports etc only**
* A summary is not required for conference abstracts

#### [**Section 3**: Technical requirements](#_Section_3:_Technical)

* Detailed technical requirements specific to the type of research output submitted
* Authors must ensure all relevant requirements are met
* 45 and Up Study reviewer completes with feedback and outcomes

#### [**Section 4**: After publication](#_Section_3_–)

* Details about your research output to enable its promotion by the Sax Institute
* Authors to complete and return following acceptance for publication, presentation etc

# Section 1: Project, research output and publication details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project, research output and publication details | |
| Project name | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 45 and Up Study project number | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| SURE workspace name | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Title of research output | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Author(s) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Type of research output e.g. manuscript (for peer reviewed journal), conference abstract, report | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Project scope and objectives – describe how your research output reflects the aims and objectives of your approved project | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Intended publication details – journal or conference name, publication or submission closing date | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| List of documents attached to this request | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Other datasets referenced in research output e.g. Medicare claims, PBS, APDC | Click or tap here to enter text. |

If you wish to provide any additional information relevant to your research output, please include this in your cover email.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sax Institute review | |
| Review ID: Sax Institute use | Review date: Sax Institute use |
| Reviewer: Sax Institute use | **Outcome**  Approved for submission/publication  Minor amendments required prior to submission  Corrections and re-review required  Third party review required prior to submission |

# Section 2: Plain language summary: manuscripts, reports etc

**A plain language summary is not required for conference abstracts.**

|  |
| --- |
| To promote the work of researchers using 45 and Up Study data, the Sax Institute will use the plain language summary together with published research outputs to assist in the development of user-friendly knowledge translation services.  This information may be disseminated via the Sax Institute’s platforms, which include its website, social media and 45 and Up Study updates.  Plain language summaries are short accounts of research that are written for members of the general public and researchers from other fields of study. They explain research to the non-expert, provide context for the research, and show why it is important.  Please write in a conversational tone for the lay reader, avoiding technical jargon and providing definitions if required. |

| Plain language summary |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Heading:** What is an attention-grabbing headline for this information? (Not the title of the research output) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Highlight** the most important areas in the summary in 3-4 points. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Background:** Why should people care about this topic and your outcomes? What do they need to understand first? (100 words, 1-2 paragraphs only) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **What did the researchers do?** Include information about the cohort e.g. age groups, factors analysed or accounted for. (100 words) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **What did the research find?** Was there anything surprising in your results? What are the implications? Graphs and tables are welcome, though for copyright reasons we will recreate and simplify graphics from your paper. (100 words) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **How can this research be used?** What is the takeaway message or action for your audience? (100 words) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Policy implications:** How does the research relate to existing policies and guidelines? (100 words) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Quote:** 1-2 sentences in your own words on the implications of these findings. Specify to whom the quote should be attributed (title, name, organisation). | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Keywords:** Please nominate up to 6 keywords relevant to your research output. | Click or tap here to enter text. |

# Section 3: Technical requirements

This section provides the specific requirements for describing the 45 and Up Study, Study participants, data linkage, ethics approvals etc. The content in your research output will be compared against this information, and identified as *appropriate*, *changes required* or *not applicable*. Where changes are required, the reviewer will provide feedback in the shaded boxes.

These requirements apply to:

* Papers (e.g. manuscripts and reports) and conference abstracts; or
* Papers (e.g. manuscripts and reports) only; or
* Conference abstracts only.

How the requirements are applied to these categories is included in bold text. **The required text for items labelled ‘Abstracts’ is for conference abstracts and does not apply to publication abstracts.** Example text is provided for most requirements.

| Technical requirements | Review outcome and notes |
| --- | --- |
| **45 and Up Study population and methods** | |
| **45 and Up Study population and methods** | Appropriate  Changes required |
| **The 45 and Up Study (Study) is correctly named**  That the Study is the source of the data must be included in the text, not just in a footnote. This will usually be in the Methods. Please use correct capitalisation.  **Papers:** The phrases *‘The Sax Institute’s 45 and Up Study’* and *‘Australia’* must be included.  Example: *The Sax Institute’s 45 and Up Study is based in the population of the state of New South Wales (NSW), Australia.*  **Abstracts:** The phrase *’45 and Up Study’* must be included. | Sax Institute use |
| **Methods**  **Papers only:** The Study methods must be accurately described. Researchers using Study data should have read the Cohort Profile Update (IJE, May 2022) reporting the methods in detail and must cite this paper in the references.  It is acceptable to note that the Study is fully described elsewhere, citing the Cohort Profile Update, however the items listed in the sections below must be included.  Bleicher K, Summerhayes R, Baynes S, et al. Cohort Profile Update: The 45 and Up Study, International Journal of Epidemiology, 2022; dyac104, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyac104>  **Optional:** the 2008 Cohort Profile may also be referenced.  45 and Up Study Collaborators, Banks E, Redman S, Jorm L, Armstrong B, Bauman A, et al. Cohort Profile: the 45 and Up Study. Int J Epidemiol. 2008;37(5):941-7. | Sax Institute use |
| **Participation and timepoints**  **Papers and Abstracts:** The number of Study participants and timepoints for recruitment at baseline must be included.   * Cohort at baseline: n=267,357 * Recruited: 2005-2009   If other timepoints are relevant, for example if data was drawn from other core surveys in the Study resource, the relevant timepoints must be cited.   * SEEF: 2010 * Wave 2: 2012-2015 * Wave 3: 2018-2020   The cohort available for analysis may vary from the full cohort recruited. This should be identified when defining the participant subset (see also *Participants in analysis* below).  Example: *A total of 267,357 participants joined the 45 and Up Study between 2005-2009 by completing a baseline questionnaire and giving signed consent for follow-up and linkage of their information to health and other databases.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Sampling frame**  **Papers only:** Information about the sampling frame must be included in the body of the research output, usually in the Methods.  The phrase ‘*Services Australia Medicare enrolment database’* must be included, and reference made to the oversampling of rural and remote people, and people 80+ years of age.  Example: *Prospective participants were randomly sampled from the Services Australia Medicare enrolment database which provides near complete coverage of the population. People 80+ years of age and residents of rural and remote areas were oversampled.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Response rate**  **Papers only:** The response rate to the invitation to participate must be included in the body of the research output, usually in the Methods.  Example: *About 19% of those invited participated and participants included ~11% of the NSW population aged 45 years and over.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Use of data from a 45 and Up sub-study**  **Papers and Abstracts:** If data from a sub-study is used (for example SEEF, 45 and Up COVID Insights) then the contribution of the researchers who obtained these data must be acknowledged per the text agreed by the 45 and Up Study with the sub-study investigators. This may include citation of relevant papers. Please contact the Coordinating Centre for specific requirements.  Example SEEF: *The authors thank the SEEF Project investigators for access to data from this research.*  Example 45 and Up COVID Insights: *The authors thank the 45 and Up Study investigators for access to the 45 and Up COVID Insights research project data.1*  1 Dawson G, Bleicher K, Baynes S, D’Este C, Steinberg J, Weber MF, Newby J, Ding D, Liu B, Edwards B, Milat A, McNamara M. 45 and Up COVID Insights: a dynamic and collaborative approach to evidence-making during the COVID-19 pandemic. Public Health Res Pract. September 2022; Online early. | Sax Institute use |
| **Ethics approvals** | |
| **Ethics approvals** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **45 and Up Study**  **Papers:** The research output must include mention of the overarching 45 and Up Study ethics approval from the University of New South Wales HREC.  **Abstracts:** The ethics approval for the 45 and Up Study is not required in the abstract but must be included in the conference presentation or poster.  Example: *The conduct of the 45 and Up Study was approved by the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Project specific approvals**  **Papers:** The research output must mention all relevant ethics approvals and include any other requirements as specified in those approvals.  If data have been linked by the Centre for Health Record Linkage (CHeReL), the NSW Population Health Services Research Ethics Committee (PHSREC) must be mentioned.  Projects conducting research with a specific focus on Aboriginal status data or Aboriginal participants must note approval from the Aboriginal Health & Medical Research Council (AH&MRC) Ethics Committee.  **Abstracts:** Include as required by project-specific approvals.  Example: *This research was approved by [full name of ethics committee, approval number].*  Example: *Ethics approval for this analysis was provided by the NSW Population and Health Services Research Ethics Committee (approval number), the Aboriginal Health and Medical Research Council (approval number) and the xxxx University HREC (approval number).* | Sax Institute use |
| **Participants in analysis** | |
| **Participants in analysis** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Subset and subset number defined**  **Papers:** The subset analysed or contacted must be precisely defined in terms of the sample size, the variables, and their values used in selecting from all Study participants.  If an exclusive population is examined, for example in only one sex, this should be justified, except in obvious cases (for example prostate cancer).  The sample size used for the analysis must be defined.  Example (Papers): *Of the 266,988\* participants available for analysis, 25,562\* participants were identified as having both self-reported hypertension at either baseline or follow-up and a PBS record of a hypertensive medication between October and December 2017. These were included as a subset of interest in these analyses.*  **Abstracts:** The subset analysed or contacted must be briefly described.  Example (Papers and Abstracts): *800\* women who reported having diabetes at both baseline and follow-up were engaged to complete a questionnaire between October and December 2017. 300\* women (37.5%) completed the questionnaire.*  \* Figures in the examples are fictitious and do not represent specific subsets. | Sax Institute use |
| **Study variables** | |
| **Study variables** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Data items correct**  **Papers:** All 45 and Up Study data items used in the analysis must be correctly described.  **Abstracts:** A brief overview of the 45 and Up Study data items used in the analysis must be included.  The 45 and Up Study data books, data dictionaries and questionnaires should be used as a guide for naming data items correctly.  https://www.saxinstitute.org.au/solutions/45-and-up-study/use-the-45-and-up-study/data-and-technical-information/ | Sax Institute use |
| **Question and response categories**  **Papers only:** Descriptions of data items and response categories must refer to and cite the 45 and Up Study questionnaires and/or data dictionaries.  This information can be presented in an appendix, table, figure or footnote. https://www.saxinstitute.org.au/solutions/45-and-up-study/use-the-45-and-up-study/data-and-technical-information/ | Sax Institute use |
| **Derivation described**  **Papers only:** Where a variable used is a derivative of one or more Study items, the derivation should be fully described, or a reference given to where a full description can be found. | Sax Institute use |
| **Linked data** | |
| **Services Australia** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Acknowledge Services Australia and the Sax Institute**  **Papers:** Where the analysis includes the use of Medicare claims and/or PBS data, Services Australia must be acknowledged for the supply of data within the methods or data sources sections of the research output. In addition, the Sax Institute must be acknowledged for linking these datasets to the Study data and the linkage method (deterministic matching) described.  Example (Papers): *Medicare claims and PBS data were provided by Services Australia. Linkage of the 45 and Up Study cohort data to these datasets is facilitated by the Sax Institute using a unique identifier and deterministic matching.*  **Abstracts:** Acknowledgement of Services Australia can be included in a footnote if the word limit is too restrictive to include in the main text.  Example (Abstracts): *Medicare claims and PBS data were provided by Services Australia.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Datasets correctly named**  **Papers and Abstracts:**   * Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) – when referring to the list of items that can be claimed (e.g. ‘*items listed on the MBS*’) * Medicare claims data – when referring to claims under the scheme (i.e. the data itself) * Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) | Sax Institute use |
| **Coversheet complete**  **Papers and Abstracts:** Services Australia requires authors to complete a Publication Request Coversheet ahead of its review of the research output. If not supplied with the initial submission, the Study Coordinating Centre will email this form to researchers on receipt of a request for technical review. | Sax Institute use |
| **Centre for Health Record Linkage (CHeReL)** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Acknowledge CHeReL, linkage method described and datasets correctly named**  **Papers and Abstracts:** Where a research project includes data linkage undertaken through the CHeReL, it must be acknowledged. Ensure that the datasets are named correctly in full.  **Papers:** The linkage method must be described as per the CHeReL website, and a reference to the CHeReL website included in the paper, either within the text or in the reference list.  Example (Papers): *The 45 and Up Study baseline data were linked by the Centre for Health Record Linkage (CHeReL) to cancer notifications from the NSW Cancer Registry (NSWCR), hospital records from the Admitted Patient Data Collection (APDC), and death notifications from the NSW Registry of Births Deaths & Marriages. CHeReL uses a probabilistic procedure to link records, in which records with an uncertain probability of being true matches are checked by hand. Its current estimated false positive rate is xx% (*[*www.cherel.org.au*](http://www.cherel.org.au)*)*  **Abstracts:** The datasets linked by CHeReL must be mentioned in the abstract. The acknowledgement can be included in a footnote if the word limit is too restrictive to incorporate into the text.  Example (Abstracts): *The 45 and Up Study baseline data were linked to the NSW Cancer Registry (NSWCR), the Admitted Patient Data Collection (APDC), and the NSW Registry of Births Deaths & Marriages death registrations.*  Example footnote (Abstracts): *We thank the Centre for Health Record Linkage (CHeReL,* [*www.cherel.org.au*](http://www.cherel.org.au)*) for the provision of linked data.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Other data linkage unit** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Papers and Abstracts:** If another data linkage unit (DLU) facilitated linkage and/or supplied data for the research project, ensure the DLU is acknowledged, and the linkage, datasets and linkage method are correctly described and named. | Sax Institute use |
| **Additional requirements for projects using the 45 and Up COVID Data Hub** | |
| **Additional requirements for projects using the 45 and Up COVID Data Hub** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Papers and Abstracts:** Research outputs using the COVID Data Hub must include the relevant number of Study participants and timepoints.   * COVID Insights cohort: 32,115 * Recruited: 2020-2021 * Surveyed: 2020-2022   OR   * Wave 3 2020 follow up questionnaire respondents: 45,078 * Surveyed: 2020   OR   * All COVID Data Hub respondents: 64,941 * Surveyed 2020-2022   Authors may wish to cite the COVID Data Hub technical note, available here: https://www.saxinstitute.org.au/wp-content/uploads/Technical-Note-The-45-and-Up-Study-COVID-Data-Hub.pdf | Sax Institute use |
| **Additional requirements for projects using CUPL** | |
| **Additional requirements for projects using the Chronic Conditions Umbrella Program Linkage (CUPL)** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **For all CUPL projects**  It is a condition of access that a copy of any research output is provided to the Sax Institute **at least three weeks prior to public release**.  Any statistical table, or figures derived from statistical tables, using CUPL records must protect participant privacy and **not show cell counts less than six**.  All data sources used must be appropriately referenced. Details for data supplied by Services Australia are in the *Linked data* section of this document. Requirements for other CUPL datasets are below.  Other CUPL data is linked by the Centre for Health Record Linkage (CHeReL). Papers should follow the referencing requirements for CHeReL as outlined in the *Linked data* section of this document.  The following acknowledgements are specifically required by the data custodians for the relevant datasets and must be included in any research output using the dataset.  Conference abstracts are exempt from full sourcing citations. | Sax Institute use |
| **Cause of Death Unit Record File (COD URF)**  Citation:  *Source: Cause of Death Unit Record File held by the NSW Ministry of Health Secure Analytics for Population Health Research and Intelligence.*  Acknowledgement:  *The Cause of Death Unit Record File (COD URF) is provided by the Australian Coordinating Registry for the COD URF on behalf of the NSW Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages, NSW Coroner and the National Coronial Information System.* | Sax Institute use |
| **NSW Cancer Registry (NSWCR)**  Citation:  *Source: NSW Cancer Registry held by Cancer Institute NSW.*  Acknowledgement:  *The NSW Cancer Registry data is provided by the Cancer Institute NSW.* | Sax Institute use |
| **NSW Mental Health Ambulatory Data Collection (MH-AMB)**  Citation:  *Source: NSW Mental Health Ambulatory Data Collection held by the NSW Ministry of Health System Information and Analytics Branch.*  Acknowledgement:  *The NSW Mental Health Ambulatory Data Collection is provided by the NSW Ministry of Health.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Notifiable Conditions Information Management System (NCIMS)**  **Notifiable Conditions Information Management System – COVID (NCIMS-COVID)**  Citation:  *Source: Notifiable Conditions Information Management System data [and/or] Notifiable Conditions Information Management System – COVID data held by Health Protection, NSW Ministry of Health.*  Acknowledgement:  *The Notifiable Conditions Information Management System data [and/or] Notifiable Conditions Information Management System – COVID data is provided by Health Protection, NSW Ministry of Health.* | Sax Institute use |
| **NSW Admitted Patient Data Collection (APDC)**  Citation:  *Source: NSW Admitted Patient Data Collection held by the NSW Ministry of Health System Information and Analytics Branch.*  Acknowledgement:  *The NSW Admitted Patient Data Collection is provided by the NSW Ministry of Health.* | Sax Institute use |
| **NSW Emergency Department Data Collection (EDDC)**  Citation:  *Source: NSW Emergency Department Data Collection held by the NSW Ministry of Health System Information and Analytics Branch.*  Acknowledgement:  *The NSW Emergency Department Data Collection is provided by the NSW Ministry of Health.* | Sax Institute use |
| **NSW Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages**  Citation:  *Source: NSW Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages held by the NSW Ministry of Health.*  Acknowledgement:  *The NSW Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages is provided by the NSW Ministry of Health.* | Sax Institute use |
| **SURE** | |
| **SURE** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Acknowledge SURE**  **Papers and Abstracts:** Where the research analysis is conducted in SURE, the phrase *‘Sax Institute’s Secure Unified Research Environment (SURE)’* must be included, acknowledging the provision of secure data access.  **Abstracts:** SURE can be acknowledged in a footnote if the word limit is too restrictive to incorporate into the text.  Example: *Secure data access was provided through the Sax Institute’s Secure Unified Research Environment (SURE).*  Example: *We acknowledge the Sax Institute’s Secure Unified Research Environment (SURE) for the provision of secure data access.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Results** | |
| **Results** | Appropriate  Changes required |
| **Results are confidential – no small cell sizes (1-4 inclusive) may be included or inferred from other information**  **Papers and Abstracts:** Results must be presented in ways that do not risk a participant or a small community of participants being identified if some information specific to them is disclosed or inferred by a reader.  *Protecting individual participants*   * Individual cases must not be described. * Simple statistical descriptions (e.g. percentages or means) must be based on groups of at least five participants. * It must not be possible to estimate individual subject’s values from other results (e.g. OR or RR) or graphical representations of data. * Cell values that are greater than zero but less than five should be presented as ‘<5’ or represented by a symbol such as an asterisk. Secondary suppression of non-sensitive cells may be required.   *Protecting communities*   * Small populace (<1,000 population), geographically small (e.g. SA1) or sensitive (e.g. Indigenous) communities should not be identified, either directly or indirectly. * Statistics relating to small or sensitive communities should not be presented unless they are one of at least five such communities being described.   **NOTE:** Researchers should be aware that third party DLUs or data custodians may have additional or differing requirements to those listed above for protecting confidentiality in small cell sizes. | Sax Institute use |
| **Representativeness and generalisability**  **Papers and Abstracts:** The Study was not designed to be representative of the general population and the participation rate of ~19% means that great care should be taken if generalising statistics to the general population. Nothing should be said about prevalence of characteristics or incidence of events without careful qualification around representativeness of Study participants corresponding to NSW or Australian populations of the same age range.  The same level of qualification is not necessary if generalising the parameters of an association between two Study variables or between one Study variable and a data item from a linked dataset.  The case for a higher level of generalisability is made by Mealing et al and could be cited when justifying such a generalisation.  Example: *The Study was not designed to be representative and therefore does not provide population-level measures of incidence and prevalence1.Mealing et al have demonstrated that broad ranges of exposure-outcome relationships estimates remain consistent in studies drawn from the same population2.*  Example: *Although the 45 and Up Study baseline response rate was modest (~19%), representativeness is not essential in cohort studies and observed cross sectional exposure-outcome relationships were similar to state-based surveillance systems that reported higher response rates1,2.*  1 Bleicher K, Summerhayes R, Baynes S, et al. Cohort Profile Update: The 45 and Up Study, International Journal of Epidemiology, 2022; dyac104, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyac104>  2 Mealing NM, Banks E, Jorm LR, Steel DG, Clements MS, Rogers KD. Investigation of relative risk estimates from studies of the same population with contrasting response rates and designs. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2010; 10:26 | Sax Institute use |
| **Acknowledgements** | |
| **Acknowledgements** | Appropriate  Changes required  Not applicable |
| **Study Partners**  **Papers and Abstracts:** Agreements with the Study’s funding partners require appropriate acknowledgement of these partners on all publicly accessible outputs.  **Abstracts:** The acknowledgement text is not required in the abstract itself, but please ensure its inclusion in the conference presentation or poster.  Since partners may vary over time, the up-to-date text should be obtained from the Sax Institute website at <https://www.saxinstitute.org.au/solutions/45-and-up-study/use-the-45-and-up-study/data-and-technical-information/>  Example: *This research was completed using data collected through the 45 and Up Study (www.saxinstitute.org.au). The 45 and Up Study is managed by the Sax Institute in collaboration with [insert list of partners per Sax Institute website]. We thank the many thousands of people participating in the 45 and Up Study.* | Sax Institute use |
| **Participants**  **Papers and Abstracts:** The above acknowledgements text includes an acknowledgement of all Study participants. If a research project has undertaken additional contact with participants through a sub-study, it is requested that this participation is also acknowledged. | Sax Institute use |

# 

# Section 4: After publication

Please provide the following information about your research output, which will help the Sax Institute promote you, your research, and the value of the 45 and Up Study.

| After publication |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Citation** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **DOI** (please include hyperlink) | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Contact details** (name, organisation, email) | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Social media: who should be tagged in social media posts promoting your research, e.g. researchers’ or organisations’ Facebook pages, Twitter handles, or LinkedIn accounts? | |
| **Twitter:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Facebook:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **LinkedIn:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Other:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Audience: | |  |
| Who should know about your research, e.g. policy makers, Study participants (men, women, people of a specific age group), peak bodies? Be as specific as possible. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |